arXiv:1404.2354v1 [math.NT] 9 Apr 2014

THE SUP-NORM OF HOLOMORPHIC CUSP FORMS

ZHILIN YE

Abstract. Let f be a normalized holomorphic cusp form with a square-free level N and weight k. Using a pre-trace formula, we establish a sup-norm bound of f such that $\|y^k f(z)\|_\infty \ll N^{-1/6+\epsilon}$ where the trivial bound is $\|y^k f(z)\|_\infty \ll 1$. This result is an analog of a similar bound in Maaß form case.

1. Introduction and Main Results

The holomorphic cusp forms with weight k and level N are holomorphic functions on the upper half-plane $F : \mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$F(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^k F(z),$$

when

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(M),$$

and vanishing at every cusp. Denote by $\mathcal{S}_k(N)$ the space consisting of all such functions. Any element $f \in \mathcal{S}_k(M)$ has a Fourier series expansion at infinity

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\psi_f(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} (n)^{\frac{k}{2}} e(nz)$$

with coefficients $\psi_f(n)$ satisfying

$$\psi_f(n) \ll_f \tau(n)$$

as proven by Deligne. In this paper, $e(z)$ always means $e^{2\pi i z}$.

We can choose an orthonormal basis $\mathcal{B}_k(N)$ of $\mathcal{S}_k(N)$ which consists of eigenfunctions of all the Hecke operators T_n with $(n, N) = 1$. If a cusp form f is an eigenfunction of the Hecke operator T_n, we denote by $\lambda_f(n)$ the eigenvalue of f.

There is a subset $\mathcal{B}_k^\star(N)$ of $\mathcal{B}_k(N)$ which consists of all the *newforms*. It is well known that these forms are eigenfunctions of all the Hecke operators T_m even for $(m, N) \neq 1$.

Denote by $\langle f, g\rangle := \int_{\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma_0(N)} f\bar{g} y^{k-2} dxdy$ the Petersson inner product of two forms f and g. Then we have the following bound.

Theorem 1.1. *(Sup-norm for holomorphic case) Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_k^\star(N)$ with square-free level N and weight $k > 2$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have a bound*

$$\|y^{\frac{k}{2}} f(z)\|_\infty \ll_\epsilon k^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{1}{6}+\epsilon} \langle f, f\rangle^{1/2}.$$

Remark 1.1. *This result is first claimed in* [HT3]. *But the author is not aware of any written proof.*

Remark 1.2. *The trivial sup-norm bound is $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ under our normalization. The first nontrivial bound is given by Blomer and Holowinsky in* [BRH]. *Then, several improvements are made by Harcos and Templier in* [HT1], [HT2] *and* [HT3]. *Moreover, a hybrid bound is obtained by Templier in* [T].

The proof follows the same lines as in [HT3] and [T].

2. Preliminaries

Let N be a positive square-free integer.

2.1. **The Sup-norm via Fourier Expansion.** We first need to establish a bound of f when y is large.

Proposition 2.1.

$$y^{k/2} f(z) \langle f, f\rangle^{-1/2} N^{1/2} \ll \begin{cases} k^{1/4+\epsilon} y^{-1/2} + y^{1/2} k^{\epsilon-1/4}, & \text{if } y \ll k, \\ k^{1/4+\epsilon} y^{-1/2} + 2^{k/2} k^{\epsilon} (2\pi y)^{k/2+\epsilon} e^{-2\pi y} \Gamma(k)^{-1/2}, & \text{if } y \gg k. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1. *This proposition is implicitly proved in* [X].

2.2. **Pretrace Formula for Holomorphic Cusp Forms.** Let

$$h(z,w) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)} \frac{1}{(j(\gamma,z))^k} \frac{1}{(w+\gamma.z)^k},$$

where $j(\gamma, z) := cz + d$ if $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

We have a pre-trace formula as following. See [RO] Appendix 1 for the details.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $C_k = \frac{(-1)^{k/2}\pi}{2^{(k-3)}(k-1)}$. *Then*

$$C_k^{-1} h(z,w) = \sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{f_i(z)\overline{f_i(-\overline{w})}}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle},$$

where the sum is over an orthonormal basis of holomorphic cusp forms of weight k and level N.

Define Atkin-Lehner operators as following:

Definition 2.1. *Atkin-Lehner operators of level N are defined to be the elements in the set*

$$A_0(N) := \left\{ \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r}a & \frac{b}{\sqrt{r}} \\ \sqrt{r}s & \sqrt{r}d \end{pmatrix} : \sigma \in SL_2(\mathbb{R}), r|N, N|rs, a, b, s, d \in \mathbb{Z}, (a,s) = 1 \right\}.$$

A well known result is

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $f(z)$ *be a holomorphic cusp newform of level N and weight k. Then the function* $F(z) := |y^{k/2} f(z)|$ *is* $A_0(N)$*-invariant.*

2.3. **Amplification Method.** Let T_l be Hecke operators as defined in [HT3]. Choose a basis of modular forms which consists of Hecke eigenforms. Let

$$\Lambda = \{p \in \mathbb{Z} : p \text{ prime }, (p,N) = 1, L \leqslant p < 2L\},$$

also let

$$\Lambda^2 = \left\{p^2 : p \in \Lambda\right\}.$$

We define that

Definition 2.2. *Let*

$$G_l(N) := \left\{ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, N|c, \det(\gamma) = l \right\}.$$

Let

$$u_\gamma(z) := \frac{j(\gamma,z)(\overline{z} - \gamma.z)}{Im(z)}.$$

Let

$$M(z,l,\delta) := \#\{\gamma \in G_l(N) : u(\gamma z, z) \leqslant \delta\}.$$

For any finite sequence of complex numbers $\{y_l\}$, we have

$$\sum_l y_l T_l(h(z,\cdot)) = \sum_l \frac{y_l}{\sqrt{l}} \sum_{\alpha \in G_l(N)} (\det \alpha)^{k/2} \frac{1}{j(\alpha,z)^k} \frac{1}{(\cdot + \alpha.z)^k}.$$

Otherwise, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\sum_l y_l T_l\left(h(z,\cdot)\right) = C_k \sum_l y_l \sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{T_l\left(f_i(z)\right)\overline{f_i(-\bar{\cdot})}}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} = C_k \sum_l y_l \sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{\lambda_i(l) f_i(z)\overline{f_i(-\bar{\cdot})}}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle}.$$

Hence, by chosing $\cdot = -\bar{z}$, we have

$$C_k \sum_{i=1}^{J}\sum_l y_l\lambda_i(l)\frac{y^k f_i(z)\overline{f_i(z)}}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} = \sum_l \frac{y_l}{\sqrt{l}} \sum_{\alpha\in G_l(N)} (\det\alpha)^{k/2}\frac{y^k}{j(\alpha,z)^k}\frac{1}{(-\bar{z}+\alpha.z)^k} = \sum_l y_l l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha\in G_l(N)} u_\alpha(z)^{-k}.$$

We then establish an "amplified" version of the formula above. By the multiplicity of the eigenvalues, for any sequence of complex numbers x_l, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_k \sum_{i=1}^{J}\left|\sum_l x_l\lambda_i(l)\right|^2 \frac{|y^{k/2} f_i(z)|^2}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} &= C_k \sum_{i=1}^{J}\sum_{l_1,l_2} x_{l_1}\overline{x_{l_2}}\lambda_i(l_1)\overline{\lambda_i(l_2)}\frac{|y^{k/2} f_i(z)|^2}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} \\ &= C_k \sum_{i=1}^{J}\sum_l y_l\lambda_i(l)\frac{|y^{k/2} f_i(z)|^2}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} \\ &= \sum_l y_l l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha\in G_l(N)} u_\alpha(z)^{-k}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$y_l := \sum_{\substack{d|(l_1,l_2)\\ l=l_1l_2/d^2}} x_{l_1}\overline{x_{l_2}}.$$

Now, let

$$x_l := \begin{cases} \mathrm{sign}(\lambda_i(l)) & \text{if } l\in\Lambda\cup\Lambda^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We therefore have

$$\left|\sum_l x_l\lambda_i(l)\right| \gg_\epsilon L^{1-\epsilon}.$$

Indeed, this follows from the relation $\lambda_i(l)^2 - \lambda_i(l^2) = 1$, which implies that $\max\left\{|\lambda_i(l)|, \left|\lambda_i(l^2)\right|\right\} \geqslant 1/2$.

As the way in [HT3], we split the counting of matrices $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d\end{pmatrix}$ as

$$M = M_* + M_u + M_p$$

according to whether $c \neq 0$ and $(a+d)^2 \neq 4l$ (generic), or $c = 0$ and $a \neq d$ (upper-triangular), or $(a+d)^2 = 4l$ (parabolic).

Moreover, we have

Lemma 2.3. *If $\delta < 2\sqrt{l}$, $M(z,l,\delta) = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $|u_\gamma(z)| \geqslant 2\sqrt{l}$ when $\gamma \in G_l(N)$. When $\mathrm{Trace}(\gamma) \geqslant 2\sqrt{l}$, we have $|u_\gamma(z)| \geqslant |\Im\mathrm{m}\, u_\gamma(z)| = \mathrm{Trace}(\gamma) \geqslant 2\sqrt{l}$. When $\mathrm{Trace}(\gamma) < 2\sqrt{l}$, let $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a matrix such that

$$g^{-1}\gamma g = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{l}\cos\theta & \sqrt{l}\sin\theta \\ -\sqrt{l}\sin\theta & \sqrt{l}\cos\theta\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$. By a direct calculation, we have $|u_{g^{-1}\gamma g}(z)| = |u_\gamma(gz)|$. Let $w = g^{-1}z = x+iy$, then

$$|u_\gamma(z)|^2 = |u_{g^{-1}\gamma g}(w)|^2 = ly^{-2}|\sin^2\theta(1+|w|^2)^2 + 4y^2\cos^2\theta| \geqslant 4l.$$

□

Remark 2.2. *A calculation with full details can be found in* [RO] *Appendix B.*

By (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.2)\quad C_k L^{2-\epsilon}\frac{\left|y^{k/2} f_i(z)\right|^2}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} &\ll \sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha\in G_l(N)} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} \\
&= \sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in G_l(N)\\ \alpha \text{ parabolic}}} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} + \sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in G_l(N)\\ \alpha \text{ generic or upper-triangular}}} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} \\
&\ll \sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in G_l(N)\\ \alpha \text{ parabolic}}} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} + \sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \delta^{-k} d\left(M_u + M_*\right)(z,l,\delta) \\
&\ll \sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in G_l(N)\\ \alpha \text{ parabolic}}} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} + k\sum_l |y_l| l^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \int_{2\sqrt{l}}^\infty \frac{\left(M_u + M_*\right)(z,l,\delta)}{\delta^{k+1}} d\delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step follows from integration by parts and Lemma 2.3.

The remaining problem is to establish an upper-bound for M_*, M_u and the sum over parabolic matrices.

2.4. **Counting Lattice Points.** As in [HT3], we estimate the sum of $M_*(z,l,\delta)$ and the sum of $M_u(z,l,\delta)$ separately.

We state two lemmas in [HT3] below.

Lemma 2.4 ([HT3] Lemma 2.1)**.** *Let Θ be a eucilidean lattice of rank 2 and D be a disc of radius $R>0$ in $\Theta\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{R}$ (not neceesarily centered at 0). If $\lambda_1\leqslant\lambda_2$ are the successive minima of Θ, then*

$$
(2.3)\qquad \#(\Theta\cap D) \ll 1 + \frac{R}{\lambda_1} + \frac{R^2}{\lambda_1\lambda_2}.
$$

Lemma 2.5 ([HT2] Lemma 1)**.** *Let $z\in A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$. Then we have*

$$
(2.4)\qquad Im\, z \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2N}
$$

and for any $(c,d)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$ distinct from $(0,0)$ we have

$$
(2.5)\qquad |cz+d|^2 \geqslant \frac{1}{N}.
$$

Remark 2.3. *This is the where the square-free condition comes into play. (2.5) is not true when $N=q^2$ for an integer q. For example, let $z=\frac{1}{q}+i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2q^2}$, then it is easy to check that z is in the fundamental domain but the lattice generated by $(1,z)$ behaves badly.*

Then, we have

Lemma 2.6. *For any $z=x+iy\in A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ and $1\leqslant\Lambda\leqslant N^{O(1)}$, $M_*(z,l,\delta)=0$ if $2\delta<Ny$. Moreover*

$$
(2.6)\qquad \sum_{1\leqslant l\leqslant\Lambda} M_*(z,l,\delta) \ll \left(\frac{\delta^2}{Ny} + \frac{\delta^3}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{\delta^4}{N}\right) N^\epsilon,
$$

$$
(2.7)\qquad \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant l\leqslant\Lambda\\ l \text{ square}}} M_*(z,l,\delta) \ll \left(\frac{\delta}{Ny} + \frac{\delta^2}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{\delta^3}{N}\right) N^\epsilon.
$$

For $1\leqslant l_1\leqslant\Lambda\leqslant N^{O(1)}$,

$$
(2.8)\qquad \sum_{1\leqslant l\leqslant\Lambda} M_*(z,l_1l^2,\delta) \ll \left(\frac{\delta}{Ny} + \frac{\delta^2}{N^{1/2}} + \frac{\delta^3}{N}\right) N^\epsilon.
$$

Proof. By the definition of M_*, we count the number of matrices $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ such that

$$|u_\alpha(z)| = |az + b - \overline{z}(cz + d)|\frac{1}{y} = |l + |cz + d|^2 - (cz + d)(a + d)|\frac{1}{cy} \leqslant \delta. \tag{2.9}$$

By considering the imaginary part, we obtain

$$|a + d| \leqslant \delta.$$

By considering the real part, we obtain

$$|l + |cz + d|^2 - (cx + d)(a + d)| \leqslant \delta|cy|.$$

We therefore have

$$|l + |cz + d|^2| \leqslant \delta\,(|cy| + |cx + d|) \leqslant 2\delta|cz + d|.$$

Since $l > 0$, we obtain that

$$|cz + d| \leqslant 2\delta.$$

Furthermore, by the inequalities above, we get $|cy| \leqslant 2\delta$.

Otherwise, we have that $N|c$ and $c \neq 0$ in this case. Hence when $2\delta/y < N$, $M_* = 0$. This proves our first claim.

By (2.9),

$$|az + b - \overline{z}(cz + d)| = |(a - d)z + b - cz^2 + (cz + d)(z - \overline{z})| \leqslant \delta y,$$

which implies that

$$|(a - d)z + b - cz^2| \ll \delta y. \tag{2.10}$$

Consider the lattice $\langle 1, z\rangle$ inside $\mathbb{C}$. Its covolume equals y. By (2.5), the shortest distance between two different points in the lattice is at least $N^{-1/2}$. In (2.10), we are counting lattice points $(a - d, b)$ in a disc of volume $\ll \delta^2 y^2$ centered at cz^2. Thus, by (2.3), there are $\ll 1 + \frac{\delta y}{N^{-1/2}} + \frac{\delta^2 y^2}{y}$ possible pairs $(a - d, b)$ for each c.

When l is a general number, since $|a + d| \ll \delta$, we have $\ll \delta$ many possible $a + d$ for a given triple $(a - d, b, c)$.

Now, consider

$$(a - d)^2 + 4bc = (a + d)^2 - 4l. \tag{2.11}$$

When l is a square, for any given triple $(a-d, b, c)$, the number of pairs $(a+d, l)$ satisfying (2.11) is $\ll N^\epsilon$.

When $l = l_1 l_2^2$ and l_1 is square-free, (2.11) becomes a Pell equation. So the solution is a power of fundamental unit which is always greater than $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Therefore, the number of pairs $(a + d, l_2)$ satisfying (2.11) is $\ll N^\epsilon$.

Finally, since $c \ll \delta/y$ and $N|c$, we have $\ll \delta/Ny$ possible values for c for all these three cases above. For each c, we have $\ll 1 + \frac{\delta y}{N^{-1/2}} + \frac{\delta^2 y^2}{y}$ possible pairs $(a-d, b)$. For each $(a-d, b, c)$, we have $\ll \delta$ possible $(a + d, l)$ for the case in (2.6). And for the cases in (2.7) and (2.8), we have $\ll N^\epsilon$ possible $(a + d, l)$. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 2.7. *For any $z = x + iy \in A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ and $1 \leqslant \Lambda \leqslant N^{O(1)}$, the following estimations hold true when l_1, l_2 and l_3 runs over primes.*

$$\sum_{1\leqslant l_1\leqslant\Lambda} M_u(z, l_1, \delta) \ll \left(1 + \delta N^{1/2} y + \delta^2 y\right) N^\epsilon, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\sum_{1\leqslant l_1 l_2\leqslant \Lambda} M_u(z, l_1 l_2, \delta) \ll \left(\Lambda + \Lambda\delta N^{1/2} y + \Lambda\delta^2 y\right) N^{\epsilon}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sum_{1\leqslant l_1 l_2\leqslant \Lambda} M_u(z, l_1 l_2^2, \delta) \ll \left(\Lambda + \Lambda\delta N^{1/2} y + \Lambda\delta^2 y\right) N^{\epsilon}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\sum_{1\leqslant l_1 l_2\leqslant \Lambda} M_u(z, l_1^2 l_2^2, \delta) \ll \left(1 + \delta N^{1/2} y + \delta^2 y\right) N^{\epsilon}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. By (2.10), we need to count the number of matrices $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ such that

$$|(a-d)z + b| \ll \delta y$$

for all the cases such that $ad = l_1$, $ad = l_1 l_2$, $ad = l_1 l_2^2$ and $ad = l_1^2 l_2^2$.

We again consider the lattice $\langle 1, z\rangle$ of covolume y and shortest length at least $N^{-1/2}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. By (2.3), in each case, we have $\ll 1 + \frac{\delta y}{N^{-1/2}} + \frac{\delta^2 y^2}{y}$ possible values of $(a-d, b)$. In the first case, we have either $a = 1$ or $d = 1$ since $ad = l_1$, which gives rise of $O(1)$ possible matrices. In the next two cases, we have $O(\Lambda)$ possible values of d because $ad = l_1 l_2$ and $ad = l_1 l_2^2$ respectively. In the last case, since both l_1, l_2 are primes, we have either $(a = 1, d = l_1^2 l_2^2)$ or $(a = l_1, d = l_1 l_2^2)$ or $(a = l_1^2, d = l_2^2)$, or equivalent configurations. In each configuration, and for a given value $a - d$, there are $\ll N^{\epsilon}$ many pairs of (a, d). Therefore, the proof is completed. □

3. The Estimation of Parabolic Matrices

In this section, we establish the upper bound of sum over parabolic matrices. The treatment in [HT3] doesn't apply to this case, since $|u_\alpha(z)|^{-k}$ decays much slower than the geometric side of pre-trace formula in Maaß form case. We need a more careful discussion here.

Denote by $A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ the fundamental domain of Atkin-Lehner operators.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $z \in A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$, $N^{-O(1)} \ll y \ll 1$ and $k \geqslant 2$, we have that*

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in G_l(N)\\ \alpha \text{ parabolic}}} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} \ll_\epsilon \theta(l) 2^{-k} l^{(-k+1)/2} \left(y + N^{-1/3} y^{1/3} + N^{-5/3} y^{-4/3} + N^{-1}\right) N^{\epsilon},$$

where $\theta(l) = 1$ when l is a perfect square and $\theta(l) = 0$ otherwise. Furthermore, the implied constant does not depend on k.

Proof. When l is not a square, there is no parabolic matrix by definition. Let l be a square. Let α be an matrix in the sum. Since α is parabolic, there is a cusp $\mathfrak{a} \in P^1(\mathbb{Q})$ which is fixed by α. Moreover, one can assume that $\mathfrak{a} = \frac{a}{c}$ for some $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the definition, when $a, c \neq 0$, we can assume that $(a, c) = 1$. Let $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be a 2-by-2 matrix such that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}.\infty = \mathfrak{a}$ and

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}),$$

for some $b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider $\alpha' = \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\alpha\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. We have that $\alpha'.\infty = \infty$. This shows that α' is an upper-triangular matrix. Since it is parabolic with determinant l, it must be of the form

$$\alpha' = \pm\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{l} & t \\ 0 & \sqrt{l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each α, we have found an upper-triangular matrix α' through the adjoint action of $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then we count the sum over αs by parameterizing them as pairs $(\alpha', \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}})$.

From the equation $\alpha = \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}\alpha'\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}$, we obtain that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{l} - act & a^2 t \\ -c^2 t & \sqrt{l} + act \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\alpha \in G_l(N)$, we have $N|c^2 t$. Furthermore, since N is square-free, we have $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $rs = N$, and $s|c$, $(c, r) = 1$ and $r|t$.

When $t = 0$, all the $\alpha = \pm \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{l} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{l} \end{pmatrix}$ are the same. When $t \neq 0$ and $c = 0$, we set $a = 1$. When $t \neq 0$ and $a = 0$, we set $c = 1$. Moreover, $|u_\alpha(z)| = \left|2\sqrt{l}yi + t|cz - a|^2\right| y^{-1}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in G_l(N) \\ \alpha \text{ parabolic}}} |u_\alpha(z)|^{-k} &\ll 2^{-k} l^{-k/2} + \sum_{t \neq 0} \frac{y^k}{\left|2\sqrt{l}yi + t\right|^k} + \sum_{N|t, t\neq 0} \frac{y^k}{\left|2\sqrt{l}yi + t|z|^2\right|^k} + \sum_{\substack{a,c,t\neq 0 \\ \text{s.t. } \alpha \in G_l(N)}} \frac{y^k}{\left|2\sqrt{l}yi + t|cz - a|^2\right|^k} \\ &\ll 2^{-k} l^{-k/2} + \sum_{t \neq 0} \frac{y^k}{\left(2\sqrt{l}y\right)^{k\alpha} |t|^{k\beta}} + \sum_{N|t, t\neq 0} \frac{y^k}{\left(2\sqrt{l}y\right)^{k\alpha} |t|z|^2|^{k\beta}} + \sum_{\substack{a,c,t\neq 0 \\ \text{s.t. } \alpha \in G_l(N)}} \frac{y^k}{\left(2\sqrt{l}y\right)^{k\alpha} (t|cz - a|^2)^{k\beta}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

by Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality for some positive α, β such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Moreover, the implied constant is absolute and independent of k.

Now let $k\beta = 1 + \epsilon$ for some positive $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. By noticing that $|z|^2 \geqslant 1/N$ when z is in the fundamental domain, the sum of first three terms is easy to obtain. Let $t = rt_1$ and $c = sc_1$ in the fourth sum, then $(sc_1, ra) = 1$ by the choices of a, c, r, s. Then (3.1) is bounded by

$$\ll_\epsilon 2^{-k} \left(l^{-k/2} + l^{-(k-1)/2} y (yl)^\epsilon + \sum_{rs=N} \sum_{\substack{c_1, a \\ (sc_1, ra)=1}} \frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}} y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(r|sc_1 z - a|^2\right)^{1+\epsilon}} \right).$$

Let $1 \leqslant R \leqslant N$. Break the r, s sum apart as

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{rs=N \\ r>R}} + \sum_{\substack{rs=N \\ s \geqslant N/R}} \right) \sum_{\substack{c_1, a \\ (sc_1, ra)=1}} \frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}} y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(r|sc_1 z - a|^2\right)^{1+\epsilon}}.$$

First consider the case that $r > R$. Since z is in the fundamental domain, there are integers b' and d' such that

$$\mathfrak{Im}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{r}a & b'/\sqrt{r} \\ \sqrt{r}sc_1 & \sqrt{r}d' \end{pmatrix} . z \right) = \frac{y}{r|sc_1 z - a|^2} \leqslant y,$$

which implies that $r|sc_1 z - a|^2 \geqslant 1$. Applying Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 to lattice $\langle 1, z \rangle$, we consider the value of $|sc_1 z - a|^2$ dyadically to obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{rs=N \\ r>R}} \sum_{\substack{c_1, a \\ (sc_1, ra)=1}} \frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}} y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}} \left(r|sc_1 z - a|^2\right)^{1+\epsilon}} \ll_\epsilon N^\epsilon \left(1 + \frac{N^{1/2}}{R^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{Ry}\right) (l^{1/2} y)^{1+\epsilon} l^{-\frac{k}{2}}.$$

Next consider the case that $s \geqslant N/R$. We open the norm square to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{rs=N\\ s\geqslant N/R}}\sum_{\substack{c_1,a\\ (sc_1,ar)=1}}\frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}}y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(r|sc_1z-a|^2\right)^{1+\epsilon}} &= \sum_{\substack{rs=N\\ s\geqslant N/R}}\sum_{\substack{c_1,a\\ (sc_1,ar)=1}}\frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}}y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}}r^{1+\epsilon}\left((sc_1x-a)^2+(sc_1y)^2\right)^{1+\epsilon}}\\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{rs=N\\ s\geqslant N/R}}\left(\sum_{\substack{|sc_1x-a|<1\\ (sc_1,ar)=1}}\frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}}y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}}r^{1+\epsilon}(sc_1y)^{2+2\epsilon}}+\sum_{\substack{|sc_1x-a|\geqslant 1\\ (sc_1,ar)=1}}\frac{\left(l^{\frac{1}{2}}y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}{l^{\frac{k}{2}}r^{1+\epsilon}\left(|sc_1x-a|sc_1y\right)^{1+\epsilon}}\right)\\ &\ll_\epsilon N^\epsilon l^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{l^{\frac{1}{2}}R}{N^2y}\right)^{1+\epsilon}+\left(\frac{l^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N}\right)^{1+\epsilon}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We then choose $R = N^{5/3}y^{4/3}$ to complete the proof. □

4. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

By (2.4), it suffices to consider the case that $y \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2N}$. By Proposition 2.1, when $z \in A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\Im\mathfrak{m}\, z > N^{-2/3}$ we have $\left|y^{k/2}f(z)\right| \ll k^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}N^{-\frac{1}{6}+\epsilon}\langle f, f\rangle^{1/2}$. Thus, we only need to show the sup-norm when $z \in A_0(N)\backslash\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}N^{-1} \leqslant \Im\mathfrak{m}\,(z) \leqslant N^{-2/3}$.

In (2.2), one has

$$|y_l| \ll \begin{cases} L, & l = 1,\\ 1, & l = l_1 \text{ or } l_1l_2 \text{ or } l_1l_2^2 \text{ or } l_1^2l_2^2 \text{ with } L < l_1, l_2 < 2L \text{ primes},\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Next, we consider the contribution of upper-triangular, parabolic and generic matrices separately on the right hand side of (2.2). Since δ is always larger than $2\sqrt{l}$, all the k-aspect implied constant of the symbol $\ll$ below is 2^{-k}.

4.0.1. *Upper-triangular.* When $l = 1$, we choose $\Lambda = 1$ in (2.12), then this part contributes $\ll N^\epsilon L\left(1 + N^{1/2}y + y\right)$. When $l = l_1$, via (2.12) again, then the upper bound is $\ll N^\epsilon L^{-1/2}\left(1 + L^{1/2}N^{1/2}y + Ly\right)$. When $l = l_1l_2$, via (2.13), the upper bound is $\ll N^\epsilon L^{-1}\left(L + L^2N^{1/2}y + L^3y\right)$. When $l = l_1l_2^2$, via (2.14) the upper bound is $\ll N^\epsilon L^{-3/2}\left(L + L^{5/2}N^{1/2}y + L^4y\right)$. When $l = l_1^2l_2^2$, via (2.15) the upper bound is $\ll N^\epsilon L^{-2}\left(1 + L^2N^{1/2}y + L^4y\right)$. Therefore, the total contribution is $\ll N^\epsilon\left(L + LN^{1/2}y + L^{5/2}y\right)$. Notice that $k > 3$, so every integral is convergent.

4.0.2. *Parabolic.* From Lemma 3.1, we know that when $l = 1, l_1^2$, the upper bound is

$$\ll L\left(y + N^{-1/3}y^{1/3} + N^{-5/3}y^{-4/3}\right)N^\epsilon,$$

and when $l = l_1^2l_2^2$, the upper bound is

$$\ll L^2\left(y + N^{-1/3}y^{1/3} + N^{-5/3}y^{-4/3}\right)N^\epsilon.$$

When l is not a square, there is no contribution from parabolic case. Hence the total contribution from generic case is $\ll L^2\left(y + N^{-1/3}y^{1/3} + N^{-5/3}y^{-4/3}\right)N^\epsilon$.

4.0.3. *Generic.* When $l = 1$, via (2.6), the upper bound is $\ll N^{\epsilon}L\left((Ny)^{-1} + N^{-1/2} + N^{-1}\right)$. When $l = l_1$, via (2.6), the upper bound is $\ll N^{\epsilon}L^{-1/2}\left(L(Ny)^{-1} + L^{3/2}N^{-1/2} + L^2N^{-1}\right)$. When $l = l_1l_2$, via (2.6), the upper bound is $\ll N^{\epsilon}L^{-1}\left(L^2(Ny)^{-1} + L^3N^{-1/2} + L^4N^{-1}\right)$.

When $l = l_1l_2^2$, via (2.8), the upper bound is $\ll N^{\epsilon}L^{-3/2}\left(L^{3/2}(Ny)^{-1} + L^3N^{-1/2} + L^{9/2}N^{-1}\right)$. When $l = l_1^2l_2^2$, via (2.7), the upper bound is $\ll N^{\epsilon}L^{-2}\left(L^2(Ny)^{-1} + L^4N^{-1/2} + L^6N^{-1}\right)$. Hence the total contribution from generic case is $\ll N^{\epsilon}\left(L(Ny)^{-1} + L^2N^{-1/2} + L^4N^{-1}\right)$. For the convergence, we need to use Lemma 2.7 when δ is sufficiently large.

Therefore, we choose $L = N^{1/3}$ in (2.2) to obtain

$$\frac{\left|y^{k/2}f_i(z)\right|^2}{\langle f_i, f_i\rangle} \ll kN^{-1/3+\epsilon},$$

which implies Lemma 1.1.

References

[B1] V. Blomer, Shifted convolution sums and subconvexity bounds for automorphic L-functions, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2004, no. 73, 3905-3926.

[BH] V. Blomer, G. Harcos, Twisted L-Functions over Number Fields and Hilbert's Eleventh Problem. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 20 (2010), no. 1, 152.

[BHM] V. Blomer, G. Harcos, P. Michel. A Burgess-like subconvex bound for twisted L-functions, Appendix 2 by Z. Mao. *Forum Math.* 19 (2007), no. 1, 61105. 11F66 (11M41)

[BRH] V. Blomer, R. Holowinsky, Bounding Sup-norm of Cusp Forms of Large Level. *Invent. Math.* 179 (2010), no. 3, 645681. 11F12 (11F66)

[C1] W. Casselman, On Some Results of Atkin and Lehner. *Math. Ann.* 201 (1973), 301314.

[DI] J. -M. Deshouillers, H. Iwaniec, Kloosterman Sums and Fourier Coefficients of Cusp Forms. *Invent. Math.* 70 (1982/83), no. 2, 219288.

[FW] B. Feigon, D. Whitehouse. Averages of central L-values of Hilbert modular forms with an application to subconvexity. *Duke Math. J.*, 149(2):347410, 2009.

[GHM] G. Harcos, P. Michel, The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II. *Invent. Math.* 163 (2006), no. 3, 581655.

[HL] J. Hoffstein, P. Lockhart, Coefficients of Maass Forms and the Siegel Zero, *Ann. of Math*, Second Series, Vol. 140, No. 1 (Jul., 1994), pp. 161-176.

[HM] R. Holowinsky, R. Munshi, Level Aspect Subconvexity for Rankin-Selberg L-Functions, arXiv:1203.1300 [math.NT].

[HM1] G. Harcos, P. Michel. The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. II. *Invent. Math.*, 163(3):581655, 2006.

[HT1] N. Templier, On the sup-norm of Maass cusp forms of large level, *Selecta Mathematica*, 16 no.3 (2010) 501–531.

[HT2] G. Harcos, N. Templier, On the sup-norm of Maass cusp forms of large level: II. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2012, no. 20, 47644774.

[HT3] G. Harcos, N. Templier, On the Sup-norm of Maass Cusp Forms of Large Level. III. *Math. Ann.* 356 (2013), no. 1, 209216.

[IK] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. xii+615 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3633-1.

[ILS] H. Iwaniec, W. Luo, P. Sarnak. Low lying zeros of families of L-functions. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, (91):55131 (2001), 2000.

[IM] H. Iwaniec, P. Michel. The second moment of the symmetric square L-functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 26 (2001), no. 2, 465482.

[KMV] E. Kowalski, P. Michel, J. VanderKam. Rankin-Selberg L-functions in the level aspect. *Duke Math. J.*, 114(1):123191, 2002.

[K] H. H. Kim, Functoriality for the exterior square of GL4 and the symmetric fourth of GL2. With appendix 1 by D. Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and P. Sarnak. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16 (2003), no. 1, 139183.

[M1] P. Michel. The subconvexity problem for Rankin-Selberg L-functions and equidistribution of Heegner points. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 160(1):185236, 2004.

[MR] P. Michel, D. Ramakrishnan. Consequences of the Gross/Zagier formulae: stability of average L-values, subconvexity, and non-vanishing mod p. http://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4668v1, 2007.

[MV] P. Micheal, A. Venkatesh , Subconvexity Problem for GL2. Publ. Math. *Inst. Hautes tudes Sci.* No. 111 (2010), 171271.

[MNV] P. Micheal, P. Nelson, A. Venkatesh , Simultaneous subconvex bounds for product L-functions. preprints.

[N1] P. Nelson. Stable averages of central values of Rankin-Selberg L-functions: Some new variants. http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.6313v1, 2010.

[P1] N. Pitt, On An Analogue of Titchmarsh's Divisor Problem for Holomorphic Cusp Forms. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26 (2013), no. 3, 735776.

[PK] R. B. Paris, D. Kaminski, Asymptotics and Mellin-Barnes integrals. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 85. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. xvi+422 pp. ISBN: 0-521-79001-8.

[RO] R. Olivetto, On the sup-norm of holomorphic cusp forms, Universit Bordeaux I. Sciences Technologies. U.F.R. Mathmatiques et informatique. Master Thesis.

[T] N. Templier, Hybrid Sup-Norm Bounds for Hecke-Maass Cusp Forms.

[X] H. Xia, On L^∞ norms of holomorphic cusp forms. *Journal of Number Theory*. Volume 124, Issue 2, June 2007, Pages 325327.